

Dear Chief Judge Glenn,

I am writing to bring to your attention an issue that has arisen regarding the treatment of my claims in the bankruptcy proceedings.

I have recently discovered that my total account balance (just over \$100k), have been arbitrarily reduced to \$3600 without my consent or direction.

At no point during the voting process was I informed that my decision to select the Celsius convenience option would result in a reduction of my total liquid recoveries. My intention was solely to receive more cryptocurrency under this option.

Moreover, there appears to be no legitimate rationale behind the decision to opt for convenience in my case. Why were there no restrictions in place within the ballot to prevent such erroneous selections, especially considering the meticulous attention given to other ballot matters? The absence of any reserves being accounted for by the debtors prior to the effective date raises serious concerns regarding the integrity of the process.

Despite this lack of foresight in setting aside reserves, the debtors anticipate releasing over \$500 million worth of reserves over time, while simultaneously proposing substantial bonuses for current management. This scenario, where creditors like myself are facing greater than 90% loss of funds due to a poorly designed ballot, is unacceptable.

I find it incomprehensible that ballots reflecting such an obvious design flaw were not invalidated, and that no provisions were made for reserves to mitigate the financial impact on creditors. The repercussions of this oversight are substantial, and for many creditors, including myself, they represent a significant financial blow.

This oversight should have been rectified, anticipated, or at the very least, factored into the establishment of higher reserves for distributions. For some creditors, including myself, this situation is causing severe financial distress, exceeding that caused by previous management, which is currently under prosecution in US courts.

In light of these circumstances, I respectfully request your intervention in this matter. The decisions made thus far are nonsensical, unjust, and suggestive of fraudulent intent.

Thank you for your attention to this matter.

Sincerely,

Christopher Bradham
Case 22-10964
bradhamc@yahoo.com

